

The Flipped Teacher and the Flipped Learner Framework

Jorge Luis Reyna Zeballos

University of Technology Sydney

We propose an 11 step framework to support educators and students to teach and learn with the Flipped Classroom (FC) model. Based on principles of blended and student-centred learning, organisational appearance, universal design and evaluation, the framework acts as a conduit between theory and good practice. Elements of the framework include: (1) planning stage, why and what to flip; (2) storyboard and lesson plan; (3) timing for activities; (4) online, (pre or post classroom) activities; (5) classroom work; (6) organisation of content; (7) visual design; (8) usability and accessibility; (9) building, testing and deployment; (10) communication of the benefits of the flipped model to students; and (11) evaluation and improvement. This paper will present the evidence behind each of these elements in a practical way to guide teachers and students through a flipped model of teaching and learning.

Keywords: flipping the classroom, flipped learning, flipped classrooms, blended learning

Introduction

Blended learning is not a new concept (Bonk & Graham, 2012; Garrison & Vaughn, 2008). However it has gained prominence recently with the use of the term 'Flipped Classroom' (Bergmann & Sams, 2012). This approach replaces the traditional transmissive lecture for pre-class preparation, active in-class tasks and post-class work (Abeysekera & Dawson, 2015). Considered planning and implementation of flipped classroom (FC), can lead to increased teacher-student interaction and more effective learning (Moffett, 2015). It is crucial that the teacher is present when students attempt to analyse and apply new knowledge (Johnson, 2013). It has been postulated that FC can promote student's self-direction and lead them to taking responsibility of their own learning (Bergmann & Sams, 2012). However, there are two major limitations associated with flipped classrooms. From the academic perspective, it is a time consuming exercise to set it up and it will require constant monitoring and improvement (Della Ratta, 2015; Shimamoto 2012; Snowden 2012; Wagner et al. 2013). From the student side, it will not work if they fail to engage with pre-class work (Kachka, 2012).

The research gap


The term 'Flipped Classroom' is gaining traction with academics but they are experiencing difficulties implementing effective learning designs (Chen et al., 2014). There is limited evidence-based research of the effectiveness of FC (Jensen et al., 2014). The approach is under-evaluated, under-theorised and under-researched in general (Abeysekera and Dawson, 2015). A recent search of the FC literature since 2012, returns publications mainly in the form of conference proceedings supplemented by a few journal papers. Most refer to case studies and none of them rely on particularly rigorous research designs. Examples can be found from many disciplines including education, sociology, languages, nutrition, chemistry, nursing, engineering and medical education.

A planning template for FC design that considers before, during and after class activities and assessments was described by Gilboy et al., (2015). This template was based on Bloom's taxonomy but does not address the student's experience. In contrast, Moffett (2015) described 12 tips for flipping the classroom but this was not comprehensive. At the time of writing, a holistic model to guide students and academics with flipped learning and teaching has not being described. As educators, we believe there are several variables or elements that could influence the success of a FC approach. This conceptual paper proposes an 11 step framework to support educators and students to teach and learn with the FC model. Based on principles of blended and student-centred learning, organisational appearance, universal design and evaluation, the framework acts as a conduit between theory and good practice.

The framework

At the University of Technology Sydney, we are promoting the FC as a way to further engage our students and foster independent learning skills. Additionally, we are promoting flexible and collaborative learning as we value the practice-oriented nature of our courses. We have developed new collaborative learning spaces and incorporated active learning theory in the design blended learning subjects. In this regard, we are currently refining the 'Flipped Teacher and the Flipped Learner Framework' (Figure 1), a tool to inform academics on how to FC. Additionally, it will inform our students the advantages of this educational strategy.

Figure 1: The Flipped Teacher and the Flipped Learner Framework



Our approach takes into consideration the planning on the FC, for example; why and what to flip, emphasise the use of storyboards (digital content) and lesson plans (face-to-face). Timing for activities and to ensure online and classroom activities are integrated seamless. Additionally, the framework embraces good visual design, usability and accessibility and building, testing and deployment of digital resources. The importance of communicating with the students the FC intervention is discussed.

References

- Abeyssekera, L. and P. Dawson (2015). "Motivation and cognitive load in the flipped classroom: definition, rationale and a call for research." *Higher Education Research & Development* 34(1): 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2014.934336>
- Bergmann & Sams (2012). *Flip Your Classroom: Reach Every Student in Every Class Every Day*. International Society for Technology in Education.
- Bonk, Curtis J., and Charles R. Graham. *The handbook of blended learning: Global perspectives, local designs*. John Wiley & Sons, 2012.
- Chen, H. Y. L., et al. (2014). "Design and Evaluation of a Flipped Course Adopting the Holistic Flipped Classroom Approach." 2014 14th IEEE International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies (ICALT): 627-631. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICALT.2014.183>
- Della Ratta, C. B. (2015). "Flipping the Classroom with Team-Based Learning in Undergraduate Nursing Education." *Nurse Educator* 40(2): 71-74. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NNE.000000000000112>
- Garrison, D. R., & Vaughan, N. D. (2008). *Blended learning in higher education: Framework, principles, and guidelines*. John Wiley & Sons. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118269558>
- Gilboy, M. B., et al. (2015). "Enhancing Student Engagement Using the Flipped Classroom." *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior* 47(1): 109-114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneb.2014.08.008>
- Jensen, J. L., et al. (2015). "Improvements from a Flipped Classroom May Simply Be the Fruits of Active Learning." *Cbe-Life Sciences Education* 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.14-08-0129>
- Moffett, J. (2015). "Twelve tips for "flipping" the classroom." *Medical Teacher* 37(4): 331-336. <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2014.943710>

Reyna Zeballos, J.L. (2015). The Flipped Teacher and the Flipped Learner Framework. In T. Reiners, B.R. von Kinsky, D. Gibson, V. Chang, L. Irving, & K. Clarke (Eds.), *Globally connected, digitally enabled*. Proceedings ascilite 2015 in Perth (pp.642-644).

<https://doi.org/10.14742/apubs.2015.1000>

Note: All published papers are refereed, having undergone a double-blind peer-review process.



The author(s) assign a Creative Commons by attribution licence enabling others to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon their work, even commercially, as long as credit is given to the author(s) for the original creation.