Smooth sailing - designing effective online learning spaces

Nauman Saeed	
La Trobe University,	
Australia	

Ying Yu La Trobe University, Australia

In response to the issue of a wide variability and structure in the Learning Management System (LMS) design across subjects at the school level in an Australian university, the researchers of the study developed a research-informed LMS template. The template drew on the critical factors identified in the literature that could contribute to students' positive learning experience in online learning spaces. The study aimed to evaluate the usability and continued use intentions of the template by trialling it in four Business subjects and applied a mixed method approach to explore lecturers' and students' positively in terms of its usability and suggested strong intentions to use it in the future.

Keywords: Online learning spaces, LMS template design, usability, use intentions, evaluation.

Background

With higher education moving towards blended and online learning (The New Media Consortium, 2017), there is increasing discussion around creating effective and efficient online learning spaces. Part of this discussion centres around the quality of student learning experience in the Learning Management System (LMS) which is widely used in universities to manage and support student learning (Coates, James, & Baldwin, 2005). It is noticed in the research literature a variability of the structure and quality of LMS design (Lonn & Teasley, 2009; Mestan, 2019; Rankine, Stevenson, Malfroy, & Ashford-Rowe, 2009). This is partly related to lack of support and resources for individual staff, for instance, guidance for LMS design and design exemplars (Ellis & Calvo, 2007).

In the university where this study was conducted, a wide spectrum of quality in LMS design was noted across subjects, from poorly structured to interactive use of the learning platform (i.e., Moodle). The LMS layout and format adopted across subjects also varied largely and therefore lacked consistency. This issue caused students' negative learning experience. Students often had to spend extra time familiarising themselves with the different designs and layouts in order to locate information needed or to fulfil task requirements. On various occasions, staff expressed strong desire for effective LMS usage with the faculty teaching and learning team.

Researchers of the study attempted to address this constant issue by designing a research-informed, evidencebased LMS template. First of all, the development of the template drew on the four perspectives on the design of learning environments proposed by Bransford, Brown, and Cocking (2000). These include 1) a student-centred perspective, focusing on learners' use of current knowledge to construct new knowledge; 2) a knowledgecentred perspective, highlighting the achievement of interconnected understanding of discipline knowledge; 3) an assessment-centred perspective that aims to create feedback opportunities; and 4) a community-centred perspective to promote interaction between students, teachers, and the wider community. Alignment among the four perspectives were taken into consideration in the development of the template, as suggested by Bransford and colleagues. The layout of the template also aimed to create a user interface that was clear, concise, familiar, responsive, consistent, aesthetic and efficient (Garton, 2012). The template also allowed opportunities for active learning, self-reflection, assessment understanding, formative feedback as well as community learning.

Figure 1 shows a screen shot of the main page of the new LMS template as trialled in one of the subjects involved in the study. Moodle's built-in 'grid' format was adopted for the page layout design. Subject coordinators' photo and contact details were provided on the top right corner (photo removed due to privacy concerns). Specially designed icons were used to represent individual sections (i.e., Subject Overview, Learning Resources, Assessments, Interaction and Subject Feedback).



This work is made available under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0</u> International licence.



Figure 1: A screenshot of the subject main page

Figure 2 shows screen captures of the individual sections. The Learning Resources section contained learning materials and activities, organised by weekly topics. All materials and activities were presented in the form of an 'e-book' for easy access and navigation. The Assessment section comprised of assessment help resources, detailed task descriptions, rubrics, submission guidelines and links. The Interaction section included subject announcements and discussion forums for asynchronous interactions and general discussions. The Subject Feedback section provided spaces for students to express their opinions on any critical issues related to the subject early in the semester as well as at the end of teaching period. The Subject Overview section is not shown in the figure below, however, it contained the subject learning guide and links to various help resources such as student support, library and relevant policies and procedures.

-							
leet Grannier	Learning Resources	Resteaments	earring News rules	1	Assessments		interaction
		_	6				
this section you will find al learning materials for this sub	bject including lecture slides, videos, readings, external links, tutorial questions and	d solution, etc.	in this section you will find datailed notenintie	no and esation enteria for all craded a	sitements		
		Vour programs (2)			and the second		Your progress (*)
			Accessment help rec	OUTCOR			D
ionic 1		<u>ц</u> .	Assessment neip res	louices			in in
opic i			Peopleoed (ePottoriol Help				D
opic Overview							
In this topic, twe will introduce you MRT2HRM, and to the Firstly, we will look at the requirements of this subject, in And then, we will begin our introduction to the field of Hu	e idea of Human Resource Management. Iciualing the how the subject will be delivered and the assessment requirements amon Resource Management.		Assessment 1: Article	e Portfolio			
fonin 1 Desources			Due Date 18 August 2014 by Spri				
lere you will find recture slides & recordings, external intes a	and readings resided to this topic		Allocated Marks: 236				
Weat 1 introduction		E	Oldk on the Assignment Description for mon	e debais			-
Week 1 Lecture Viteo witt Powerpoint files		D .	Assignment Description Article Brothole	0			Ū Ū
		H	Averieble from 22 July 2014				9
		151 L					
Tonin 2 tal subjet Oscylev Lear	ning Resource documenter Industrian	Subject Feedback	Annalated i8thiography view life: Subject Overview	Learning Resources	Assessmenter	Interaction Sub	ect Freedback
Conic 9 Balget Overview Last	ning Resource descentionalis Indeastion	bulget Footbace subject Footbace	Annahes Abragnety sins the	Learning Resources	Assessmente	Interaction Sub	incl Freedback
Tranic ?	neg Reserve Assessment, Measure Interaction	adapet freedback	Annahel Rhappip sino lier Selget Owner Interdation	Learning Resources	Assemble bject Feedback	itlestiin Sub	ect Freedback
Ennie ? Soljen Dereter Laar correne	ting Reserves Assessments Interaction	Sa Balget Feedbalt subject Feedbalt & C & A Trained of Joney (1962)	Extended Records to the Subject Service Interaction The subject relation on Subject (set) The subject relation on Subject (set)	Learning Resources Sci serve is part of the quality assurances are to use of your reportings in the task	Assessmente bljoct Feedback en vories with steen serverije en vories with steen serverije	Héradian 5.0 Tahi sunay yau ang initiad	et feetbaak
Transfer 2 adapt Overlaw Laar correct 1) In Ecclo (to view support of the science function of the science of the support of the science of the science of the support of the science o	ting Resource Association Interaction	Subject freedback Subject freedback = C 4.6 Transits of Unitery Transition Circuit of the server Transition	Annexisted Recogneys view life Subject Common Subject Common Subject Common Subject Common Transaction Trans	Learning Resources SL unrey is part of the quality assummer tail us of your experience in this subj will be distributed prore to the end of for constacting	Assessments bjoct Feedback proses that secure across the university, ext. your tesses will be taken seriously and the teaching period.	Mergetien Bub In this survey you are instead	ent Freedback
France 2 adjust devolve Law correct The second background was be free all end control one second background was be free all end control one Second all Announcements	ing Reserve Assessment Interaction	adject foodback subject foodback subject resetback • C4.6 Tourist gi server Tourge Traveg regiser (C)	Eventeeled Relagange states lier Segeet Overview Eventeelee Total Segeet Overview Eventeelee Total Segeet Seguet	Learning Resources SL survey is part of the quality assurances to the user of the quality assurances to be assurance in this tags will be assimilated and no to the end of contracting	Knownester blject Feedback zeosis har seosi skoa wijedija see, soo view wije steer serously act i he maching period.	interaction but this survey you are instead will assist us to embrance that	ent Freedback
Francis ?	neg Reserve Assessment, Meandain Interaction	Subject Frontiums Subject Frontiums CEAA Training of Jonewy Tourison CEAA Training of Jonewy Tourison	Extended Relagange size liter Select Service Extended To address Select Service Extended To address Relation Subjects (Select Service To address Relation Subjects (Select Select	Learning Researces Su unity is part of the quality assurance as all use of your expenses in the add the assoration protocol to the end of contracting ct Evaluation	Accessmenter biject Feedback broots the occur across the aniversity, et: vour lean and to same seriously and u	Heration but	et freebuck
Francis ?	ting Resource Association (Manadam)	Salipet Freedoor subject Freedoor Salipet Freedoor Salipe	Eventuated Relanguages status liter Eventuated Relanguages status liter Eventuated Eventuated	Learning Resources Sc assey Li set of the quality assumers of the use dry an expenses in this sub the use dry an expenses in this sub is as simplicated around the rest of of contracting ct Evaluation	Assessmente bject Feedback protest that secure across the university, et. vour views will be taken seriously activ he takethroppence.	n this survey you are initiad initia survey you are initiad	es freebook
Ernnin ? Semeral Announcements Semeral Announcements Semeral Announcements	ting Reserves Assessments Interaction	Salipet Freedoor Salipet Free	Extended Rhappings since life Subject Service FormerCorr FormerCorr Mid-servester Subject Soulder Mid-servester Subject Soulder	Learning Resources St unrey is part of the quality assurance to till us of your expenses in this sub will be abstrated promotion end of foor tabeling ct Evaluation	Assemente bject Feedback et vor rees alle sam sinously adr ne teachropence.	interstillen Auf In frie suncy you we insted In dassat us to eminance tha	ect Freebook
France ?	ang Fiscancia Association Interaction	Subject freedback Subject freedback C4.6 Transits of subject resolution C4.6 Transits C4.6 Trans	Extended Rhapping size life Subject Converse Financial	Learning Resources SL unrey is part of the quality assurance to the used your reparaments in this sub- will be distributed promote the end of constanting ct Evaluation	Assessmenter blject Feedback proses har socurs across the univerly, no seating period.	Hérstien & d	net freebook
France ?	ang Reserves Assessment Interaction	adject feedback subject resolution 4	Extended Reagange state life Subject Version	Learning Reserves SL unrey is part of the quality assummer to the use of your experiments in this sub- too is activity will be similated promote the end of contracting ct. Evaluation	Knownester blject Feedback seens har seen seen yn wredy, seens har seen seen yn wredy, he seen genoe.	Information Bub In this survey you are instruct will assist us to enhance that	ent freebuck
Francis ?	ting Resource Association (Manadum)	24 Balget Feedbalt Salget Feed	Extended Ritrageopy size life Subject Second The Subject Second Se	Learning Reserver St anny is not of the quality assumers to it us of your experience in this play the standard core for the rest of core tacking ct Evaluation tion	Assessmente biject Foedback biject Foedback ex two ieen allb sam servicely adj	Hénotion Buð n fría suney ysu are inited sil assist La to emanoa fria	en freebook
France ?	ting Resource Association (Manadam)	Salipit freebox subject freebox C4.4 hatchs ghurer(2 hord) marging regime (1)	Extended Rhoppings since left Subject Service Francesco Francesco Mid-serverset relations Mid-serverset relations Final Stubject Evaluation Final Stubject Evaluation	Learning Resources St anney is part of the quality assummer to the use of your expenses in this sub will be abatured provide the constanting constanting constanting tot Evaluation	Assessmente biject Feedback et vor viese alle staan servursje adv et stor vor sees alle staan servursje adv	infersation & a	ect Freebook
France ?	ting Reserves Assessment Headers	Aniper Freedow Subject	Extendence Richagenery states lefel Extendence	Learning Resources SL unrey is part of the quality assummer to til us of your experience in this sub will be astimuted proto to the end of from tacting ct Evaluation tion	Assessed	nteraction & d	net freebuck
Tonic ? Ton	ang Fiscance Association Manachin Interaction	Subject freedback Subject freedback C0.4.6 Tourisis of subject resolution C0.4.7 Tourisis of subject resolut		Learning Resources SL unrey is part of the quality assummers to the user of the quality assummers to the assummers of the sub- toon teaching ct Evaluation tion	Assessments	nting gurvey you are instead in this gurvey you are instead will assist us to emapose the statistical to a series of the Subject Freed	et freibuit

Figure 2: Screenshots of the individual sections

The LMS template was trialled in four Business subjects during semester 2, 2016. A user study was conducted at the end of trial period to evaluate the usability and continued use intentions of the proposed LMS template. Usability evaluation focused on how well students could learn and used the LMS to achieve their learning goals. It also looked at students' satisfaction with the use process (Pangestu & Karsen, 2016).

Methodology

In order to gain a thorough understanding of the perceived effectiveness of the template among lecturers and students, the study applied mixed methods approach drawing on both quantitative and qualitative methods in data collection and analysis (Burke & Onwuegbuzie, 2004).

An ethics approval was granted to conduct the user study. The students in the subjects were invited to take part in an online survey via email with clear explanation that participation in the survey was purely voluntary and would have no bearing on their performance or grades. Out of 240 students enrolled in the four subjects, only 18 responded to the survey.

The USE questionnaire (Lund, 2001) was adopted to evaluate the usability through the constructs of usefulness, ease of use, ease of learning and satisfaction. The construct of continued use intentions adopted from Venkatesh et al. (2003) was also included in the questionnaire. All items were measured on a five-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). (The questionnaire is not included in the paper due to space limitations but is available upon request.) The survey also included open-ended questions seeking students' opinions of what they liked and disliked about the trialled template, their suggestions for further improvement, as well as their comparison of the template with those of the other subjects that they enrolled in.

The collection of survey data was followed by a short interview with selected students and the subject coordinators involved, at the end of teaching period. The interview questions aimed at investigating in depth both the students' and the teachers' experience with the trialled template and triangulating with the findings from the survey data. Each interview lasted between 10-15 minutes and conducted by the researchers who were not involved in the teaching of any of the trialled subjects.

Results

Student Survey

Table 1 provides descriptive statistics of the survey constructs. The mean scores demonstrate that majority of the students tended to strongly agree that the trialled template was useful, easy to use, easy to learn and they were satisfied with its usage, thus indicating their positive attitudes towards the usability of the trialled design. The students also seemed to prefer to use it in the future.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics						
Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation				
Usefulness (Use1-8)	4.26	0.68				
Ease of Use (EoU1-11)	4.28	0.65				
Ease of Learning (EoL1-4)	4.36	0.64				
Satisfaction (Sat1-7)	4.11	0.86				
Intention to Use (Int1-3)	4.26	0.55				

Table 1: Descriptive statistics

In order to analyse the most influential element(s) that could support students continued use intentions, Davis' technology acceptance model (TAM) (Davis, 1989) was adopted. TAM is widely used in Information Systems research to explain or predict individuals' acceptance of computer-based systems in various scenarios and organisational contexts (Chakraborty, Hu, & Cui, 2008). TAM posits that user perceptions of usefulness and ease-of-use determine attitudes towards using a system or technology. In order to measure the overall effect of usability on continued use intentions, we extended the original TAM by including satisfaction and ease-of-learning constructs in addition to basic TAM constructs of usefulness and ease-of-use. The PLS (Partial Least Squares) analyses of the structural model revealed ease-of-use as the most significant predictor of continued usage intentions via usefulness. However, satisfaction and ease-of-learning only indirectly impacted usage intentions but are available upon request.)

When asked to compare the proposed LMS template with those of the other subjects on a scale of 1 (Much Worse) to 5 (Much Better), 66% of the students reported that the trialled template was 'better' or 'much better' than any template they have used in the past (1=0%; 2=0%; 3=33%; 4=44%; 5=22%).

As reported in the open-ended questions, the features that the students liked the most, of the new template, included clear format, informative design, ease-of-use, ease-of-access and the logical arrangement of materials. No issue was reported, nor any further improvement needed according to the students' responses to the open-ended questions.

Student Interviews

The interview findings indicated the students' very positive attitudes towards the trialled template. The students' overall experience of the LMS was reported as unique. Simplicity and ease of navigation were the most frequent comments that the students made about the new layout. Most students encountered no challenges in navigating through the LMS except one who reported some difficulty in finding the needed documents. In fact, simplicity and ease of navigation were the two distinctive advantages of the trialled LMS as compared to those of the other subjects the students enrolled in. These qualities of the LMS, as the students pointed out, contributed to their learning in that they were able to focus on learning rather than waste time looking for information they needed, as they normally did in the LMS of the other subjects. Below is an example of the students' comments:

The reason I did really so well in this subject I would say because of this design layout and because it was lot easier to find what I was looking for. Whereas in my other subject it took longer to find what I was looking for. I was wasting time.

Unanimously the students strongly agreed that the new template was their preferred design and suggested it should be implemented across their future subjects. One constructive feedback from the students in terms of further improvement was to provide subheadings or brief descriptions to the audio/visual recordings to facilitate search for relevant information.

Staff Interviews

The subject coordinators of the four subjects involved in the trial were interviewed at the end of study period with the aim to get their perspective on the new LMS template. Overall, the subject coordinators reported very positive experience with the new template. The clear and simple interface made it easy to set up, use and navigate. They considered the 'e-book' feature in the LMS particularly helpful in terms of presenting and sequencing materials as well as learning activities. For example, one lecturer commented:

...because I think the subject was blended and we have a lot of online stuff and if you put a lot of stuff on the LMS the student won't be able to find it easily and they tend not to search for things. So, I thought the design was quite intuitive so those kind of boxes (sections/grids) were clearly marked and students were able to find things relatively easy, so I think the design is as good as we are going to get.

Other useful features in the template, as they reported, included a dedicated section for communication and the use of icons. The lecturers also mentioned that they received very positive feedback from their students about the LMS design.

Interestingly, when comparing the LMS templates with those they had used previously, the lecturers pointed out a strong pedagogical thinking underpinning the new template with an emphasis on content, communication, assessment and feedback, which was missing in the other templates. These are in fact the key elements of an effective learning environment identified in the research literature. Overall, they found it much better as compared to other templates they had used before, as evident from the following comment:

I think, it's a million times better!

All the subject coordinators expressed strong intention to continue to use the new template in their future offerings. They even suggested that the template should be rolled over across all subjects in the school for consistency reason to avoid students getting confused with different templates used in the school.

With regards to further improvement of the template, it was suggested to set up a separate section for lecture materials to save students from scrolling through all the other materials to locate what they needed.

Discussion and Conclusion

The survey results suggest that the proposed template scored high on all aspects of usability measurements namely efficiency, effectiveness and satisfaction. This means that the new design could help in achieving the intended learning goals. This aspect is also echoed in the interview data as the majority of students suggested that it saved time, provided easy access to learning materials and activities and was visually pleasing. This is a significant finding when compared to similar studies of usability evaluation of online learning (Pangestu & Karsen, 2016) where only some aspects of usability appeared significant. The results also showed the participant's strong intentions to use the trialled template in the future. Further, the empirical evaluation of an extended TAM revealed ease-of-use as the strongest predictor of use intentions, followed by usefulness. This is in line with several TAM studies (Halawi & McCarthy, 2007; Lee, Cheung, & Chen, 2005) and suggests that the more students find online learning spaces easy to use, the more likely they will use it in the future and ultimately get engaged with them. The results also suggest that teachers need to motivate their students about the usefulness of online learning spaces if they wish to enhance the usage. The strong continued use intentions are also confirmed in the student interviews.

The results of staff interviews are also in line with the student survey and interview results. All subject coordinators agreed that the new template helped in their teaching as it was easy to use, easy to navigate and was hassle free. These findings are very encouraging in that innovative teaching practices may be facilitated, and student learning enhanced through the design of effective and efficient online learning spaces where students have easy access to relevant content, clear guidelines on assessments and opportunities for feedback and communication.

This study has made several useful contributions. First, it attempts to design a research-informed evidence-based LMS template and to validate its usability with the key stakeholders. Second, it will help provide a consistent online learning experience to students across various subjects and courses. Third, the study findings may help validate the usability of similar online learning spaces in varied contexts. Finally, it helps understand students' continued intentions to use the LMS or other similar online learning platforms.

Admittedly one limitation of our study is the small sample size, as it was a trial study conducted on a small scale where participants voluntarily chose to take part in the online survey and the interview. Also, as the study was conducted using a particular LMS (Moodle), the findings may not be generalised to other learning management systems. The next steps would be to roll out the trialled LMS template across a larger number of subjects and conduct a similar usability evaluation with a larger sample to validate our findings.

References

- Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (2000). *How people learn: brain, mind, experience, and school.* Washington: National Academy Press.
- Burke, R. J., & Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2004). Mixed Methods Research: A research paradigm whose time has come, *Educational Researcher*, 33(7), 14-26. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X033007014
- Chakraborty, I., Hu, P. J. H., & Cui, D. (2008). Examining the effects of cognitive style in individuals' technology use decision making. *Decision Support Systems*, 45(2), 228-241.
- Coates, H., James, R., & Baldwin, G. (2005). A critical examination of the effects of learning management systems on university teaching and learning. *Tertiary Education and Management*, 11(1), 19-36.
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319-339.
- Ellis, R. A. & Calvo, R. A. (2007). Minimum indicators to assure quality of LMS-supported blended learning. *Educational Technology & Society*, 10(2), 60-70.

Garton, J. (2012). *Designing aesthetically pleasing Moodle courses* <u>http://opensource.com/education/12/1/designing-aesthetically-pleasing-moodle-courses</u> [viewed 20 Sep 2018].

- Halawi, L., & McCarthy, R. (2007). Measuring faculty perceptions of Blackboard using the TAM. *Issues in Information Systems*, 8(2), 160-165.
- Lee, M. K., Cheung, C. M., & Chen, Z. (2005). Acceptance of internet-based learning medium: the role of extrinsic and intrinsic motivation. *Information and Management*, 42(8), 1095-1104.
- Lonn, S., & Teasley, S. D. (2009). Saving time or innovating practice: Investigating perceptions and uses of Learning Management Systems. *Computers and Education*, 53(3), 686-694. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2009.04.008

Lund, A. M. (2001). Measuring usability with the USE questionnaire. Usability Interface, 8(2), 3-6.

- Mestan, K. (2019). Create a fine blend: An examination of institutional transition to blended learning. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 2019, 35(1). Advance online publication.
- Pangestu, H. & Karsen, M. (2016). Evaluation of usability in online learning case study: Online learning Binus University. Proceedings International Conference on Information Management and Technology (ICIMTech) Indonesia 2016. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIMTech.2016.7930342
- Rankine, L., Stevenson, L., Malfroy, J., & Ashford-Rowe, K. (2009). Benchmarking across universities: A framework for LMS analysis. *Proceedings ascilite Auckland 2009*.

The New Media Consortium. (2017). NMC horizon report - 2017. Higher education edition. Austin, TX.

Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of IT: Toward a unified view. MIS Quarterly, 27(3), 425-478. https://doi.org/10.2307/30036540

Please cite as: Saeed, N. & Yu, Y. (2018). Smooth sailing - designing effective online learning spaces. In M. Campbell, J. Willems, C. Adachi, D. Blake, I. Doherty, S. Krishnan, S. Macfarlane, L. Ngo, M. O'Donnell, S. Palmer, L. Riddell, I. Story, H. Suri & J. Tai (Eds.), Open Oceans: Learning without borders. Proceedings ASCILITE 2018 Geelong (pp. 493-498). https://doi.org/10.14742/apubs.2018.1942